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Introduction

1. The Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review (UPR), established in accordance with Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 of 18 June 2007, held its fifteenth session from 21 January to 1 February 2013. The review of Bahamas was held at the 6th meeting on 23 January 2013. The delegation of Bahamas was headed by Z. C. Allyson Maynard-Gibson, Attorney General and Minister of Legal Affairs, Office of the Attorney General and Ministry of Legal Affairs. At its 10th meeting held on 25 January 2013, the Working Group adopted the report on Bahamas.

2. On 14 January 2013, the Human Rights Council selected the following group of rapporteurs (troika) to facilitate the review of Bahamas: Costa Rica, Gabon and Pakistan.

3. In accordance with paragraph 15 of the annex to Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 and paragraph 5 of the annex to resolution 16/21, the following documents were issued for the review of Bahamas:
   (a) A national report submitted/written presentation made in accordance with paragraph 15 (a) (A/HRC/WG.6/15/BHS/1);
   (b) A compilation prepared by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) in accordance with paragraph 15 (b) (A/HRC/WG.6/15/BHS/2);
   (c) A summary prepared by OHCHR in accordance with paragraph 15 (c) (A/HRC/WG.6/15/BHS/3).

4. A list of questions prepared in advance by Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland were transmitted to Bahamas through the troika. These questions are available on the extranet of the UPR.

I. Summary of the proceedings of the review process

A. Presentation by the State under review

5. The Head of Delegation congratulated the President of the Human Rights Council and thanked the troika members for the assistance rendered to her delegation in this process.

6. The Head of Delegation pointed out that their participation in this process was indicative of the significance which the Bahamas attributed to the promotion and protection of fundamental freedoms and human rights.

7. The Head of Delegation explained that the modern history of the Bahamas began with a quest for religious freedoms as, throughout the centuries, the people who settled these islands had assimilated a respect for human rights and the rule of law which continued to thrive. The Bahamas had been a place of refuge to tens of thousands of immigrants from Haiti, who had found access to employment, free education, health and social services, and full affirmation of their rights and dignity as people. Added to this matrix was a long and proud history of democracy and the rule of law, with a system of parliamentary democracy which could be traced back unbroken to 1729, making it the third oldest parliamentary system in the Western Hemisphere. It currently operated a vibrant participatory democracy based on the principle of separation of powers, with a Constitution providing for entrenched fundamental rights, an independent judiciary to enforce those rights and strong institutions.
of civil society, which included an independent press, organized trade unions and the Church.

8. The Head of Delegation outlined a few general considerations which had important sociocultural, legal and institutional implications for the implementation of human rights on the domestic level. There were also two jurisprudential issues, which formed an important part of the legal and institutional framework of the human rights environment. First, the Bahamas had a Constitution in force which entrenched many fundamental provisions, especially those related to fundamental rights and freedoms. To amend them required special procedures, parliamentary majorities and referenda. This truly reposed the final say in the hands of the people.

9. The Head of Delegation indicated that the Government had relaunched a Constitutional Reform Commission to conduct a comprehensive review, and to recommend changes to the Constitution by the end of March 2013, in advance of the fortieth anniversary of independence in July. The issues included: discrimination and gender equality; citizenship and nationality; distribution of state power vis-à-vis individual rights; capital punishment; and conferral of full equal rights on women. This last would be put to referendum by June 2013.

10. The Commission’s Report would be accompanied by public consultation and education.

11. The Head of Delegation described the second jurisprudential issue: the dualist nature of the legal system that required the incorporation of international conventions into domestic law. Nevertheless, the Government was committed to this process and was determined to improve the domestication of such instruments.

12. On thematic considerations, the Head of Delegation reported that civil society had been involved more deeply in the preparation for the review. Consultations were held with key national stakeholders, namely representatives of all the major religious groups and representatives of civil society (including the official opposition).

13. On reporting obligations to the various treaty bodies and increasing dialogue with such bodies, the Head of Delegation acknowledged that there were still outstanding reports to several human rights instruments, due to technical and legal challenges. The Head of Delegation reported that a project management team was being established to conduct an audit of all international treaties to which they were party, with a view to identifying reporting obligations while welcoming offers of technical assistance.

14. On the creation of national human rights institutions, such as an ombudsman or human rights commission, the Head of Delegation clarified that the Bahamas had a “Westminster-style” Constitution where the Court constituted the primary human rights institution. The High Courts had almost unlimited powers to enforce fundamental rights. Nevertheless, the Bahamas was generally supportive of the concept of national human rights institutions, and their potential additional value. Thus, best practices thereon were being researched.

15. The Head of Delegation stated that a division had been formed to harmonize national legislation and international agreements. An inter-agency committee had also been established to oversee the implementation of convention mandates and recommendations.

16. The Head of Delegation acknowledged that there had been a delay in the implementation of both the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), because they required amendments to the Constitution, and would therefore have to be subjected to the referendum process, which would require time and public education. Therefore, it was not in a position to ratify either the First Optional Protocol to the
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights or the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. With respect to the accession to the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (OP-CEDAW), this could not be accomplished before the removal of the reservations to article 29.

17. Concerning the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict and the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography, the Head of Delegation pointed out that, concerning the latter, domestic law prohibited the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography. As the Bahamas was not involved in any armed conflict, the issue of ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict would be dealt with at a later stage.

18. On administration of justice, the Head of Delegation noted that the Attorney General’s Office was implementing a number of initiatives to deal with the backlog of cases (particularly criminal). The most significant of these was the “Swift Justice” system, and its corollary, the integrated justice system, which provided an information system to manage serious cases (especially murder) from the investigative stage, and automation and connectivity of all the stakeholders in the criminal justice system (police, prosecutors, prison officials, courts, etc.). There were plans to create a Family Court to provide a suite of alternative dispute resolution support services, such as mediation and counseling and to harmonize more than twenty pieces of legislation. And, on treaty reporting, she stated national efforts and requested technical assistance could be maximized for timeliness and effective, synergistic implementation by United Nations clustering of relevant instruments.

19. The Head of Delegation referred to a programme called “Urban Renewal”, which was introduced to bring a social dimension to the administration of justice, and to address root causes. The main thrust of the programme was, therefore, to strengthen communities with social interventionist programmes administered by a cross-section of agencies, including the police, social service and health workers.

20. The Head of Delegation conveyed the current position of the Bahamas on the death penalty. She emphasized that death penalty had been on the statute books of the Bahamas since the inception of English common law in 1799. It had been mandatory for murder and treason until a seminal case in 2006, when the Privy Council had ruled that the relevant provisions of Bahamian law were to be construed as prescribing a discretionary, not a mandatory death sentence. In 2012, further judicial restrictions were imposed by the Privy Council ruling that the death penalty should only be imposed where the offence was deemed to be the “worst of the worst” or the “rarest of the rare”. The majority of the population, guided by strong Judeo-Christian principles, supported capital punishment. She noted that the international community was still very much divided on this issue. This issue would be before the Constitutional Reform Commission. Moreover, the last mandated execution had taken place 12 years prior, even in the absence of a formal moratorium.

21. On the issue of the legality of corporal punishment in specified circumstances, the Head of Delegation stated that it remained a practice under Bahamian law in two broad categories. The first was in respect of the legal right of parents or guardians, and the second, as punishment for criminal or prison disciplinary offences.

22. Notwithstanding the legal ability to employ corporal punishment in respect of minors, the Bahamas recognized the merit of behavioural modification mechanisms, and encouraged such disciplinary measures in lieu of physical discipline. The Head of Delegation conveyed their country’s desire to undertake a study on the effects of corporal punishment in the Bahamas.
23. The Head of Delegation explained that physical abuse of a child was punishable under the law of the Bahamas. The Government remained dedicated to granting full measure to protecting the rights of the child and addressing the issue of child abuse in the country. This was explicitly demonstrated in the integration (with a minor reservation) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child into domestic legislation, via the Child Protection Act 2009.

24. The Head of Delegation explained the measures taken, where technical experts were presently designing a system whereby the birth of all infants born in the Bahamas, including on the far-flung islands of the archipelago, would be registered in a national database, which would also assist officials in processing claims for nationality. The Department of Social Services had also spearheaded the National Child Abuse Prevention Inter-Agency Protocol, which attempted to document current practices of abuse, and set out policies and procedures in respect of child protection issues. It was envisaged to be operational early in 2013.

25. The Head of Delegation addressed the allegations of sex tourism involving children in the Bahamas. After a comprehensive investigation, they had found no support for such claims. She noted that the Government had just granted permission for the Special Rapporteur on trafficking in persons, especially women and children, to visit during this year, upon her request. She reaffirmed to the international community that any credible reports of such activities would be vigorously investigated, pursued and prosecuted.

26. With respect to the rights of children born out of wedlock, and in answer to a question which had been asked, since the enactment of the Status of Children Act in 2002, the Head of Delegation stated that those children had equal status as those born to married persons in their legal relations to their parents, including inheritance rights. The notable exception had to do with the right to nationality, which could not be changed by the Act and would have to await constitutional amendment.

27. The Head of Delegation assured that the Bahamas enjoyed a free and very tolerant racial climate. The Government of the Bahamas was aware of recommendations to criminalize racial discrimination. However, after conducting extensive investigations in conjunction with several government agencies, no cases of discrimination on the basis of race had been identified to date. The Constitution of the Bahamas provided protection from racial discrimination and effective redress for violations of that right.

28. The Head of Delegation assured that the Government was acutely aware of the need to protect the rights of persons with physical or mental disabilities. In collaboration with the private sector, the Government had been active in efforts to provide support and promote a high quality of life for persons with disabilities and their participation in national development. Consultations to enact domestic legislation on disability were ongoing and it was anticipated during 2013 both to accede to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and to enact legislation to give effect to that Convention.

29. On sexual orientation or gender identity, the Head of Delegation reported that the Bahamas Constitution, in its preamble, referred to its respect for Christian values. They believed that “family” was the foundation of a strong nation. Marriage in the Bahamas was the union of a man and a woman. There was no formal or positive legal discrimination against persons in the Bahamas based on sexual orientation or gender identity, although it was not included as a prohibited ground of discrimination in the Constitution, or in legislation which prohibited discrimination in specific areas (such as employment). Neither had there been any reported cases where anyone had alleged discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation. There had been, within the past few years, a few instances of violence which had involved persons alleged to be “gay”. However, these incidents had been
domestic in nature, characterized by a dispute between the parties themselves, and had not been provoked by any form of discrimination.

30. The Bahamas had been the first country in the Commonwealth Caribbean (in 1991) to decriminalize consensual sex between persons of the same gender. Also, in 2010, and again during the current sixty-seventh session of the General Assembly, the Bahamas had voted in support of the retention of language in a resolution condemning killings for any discriminatory reason to specifically include “sexual orientation” as a discriminatory ground for such killings. The Bahamas would remain engaged in the international discussions on the matter.

31. The Head of Delegation reported that, in 2009, a bill was tabled to amend the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act to criminalize marital rape where the couple was living together. Consultation with civil society indicated that public opinion was strongly against the bill, and it was subsequently withdrawn. At the same time, spouses who might be subject to sexual abuse by their partners were not without legal protection under Bahamian laws such as the Domestic Violence (Protection Orders) Act. In addition, the Government had embarked, in schools, through its social agencies and in conjunction with civil society, on a variety of programmes to sensitize persons to this issue, which, it was hoped, would contribute to a decrease in domestic violence generally, including all forms of spousal violence.

32. The Head of Delegation noted that they were aware of the concerns with respect to the high incidents of reported rape. She told of steps taken to reduce such incidents, such as taking action to effectively investigate and prosecute rape cases and respond sensitively to the victims, when they did occur. On the Strategic Plan for the Management, Prevention and Elimination of Family Violence, she stated that a multi-disciplinary team had been established in March 2012 to review the plan and make recommendations on the way forward. The team expected to have the report completed by March 2013.

33. The Head of Delegation reiterated that the Bahamas had for many years attracted a steady flow of immigrants, mainly from its sister CARICOM (Caribbean Community) country of Haiti, although in recent times this had included vulnerable migrants from other countries. Such persons were housed in a detention centre until they could be repatriated to their countries, unless they qualified for refugee status after appropriate screening. Where children were involved, every effort was made to repatriate, determine refugee status or resettle them as quickly as possible. In this regard, the Department of Immigration adhered to guidelines based on the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) mandates to screen immigrants to determine whether they were at risk of persecution in their country. The Government and UNHCR enjoyed a regular dialogue, whereby national asylum assessments were shared with UNHCR and, when necessary, advisory opinions were requested. In addition, the Government was reviewing existing policies in respect of both the Carmichael Road Detention Centre and asylum seekers. The Government had also begun a process of renovating the physical infrastructure at the Detention Centre, beginning with the refurbishment of plumbing facilities.

34. The Bahamas continued to engage in very close cooperation with the United States in addressing this issue, and members of the TIP (Trafficking in Persons) Committee had received American-sponsored training to identify and respond to victims and perpetrators of trafficking.

35. The Government had undertaken several cross-cutting, bilateral and multilateral initiatives to deal with the scourge of trafficking in persons. In addition to putting in place the Trafficking in Persons (Prevention and Suppression) Act, 2008, it had established a National Inter-Agency Committee and Task Force, drafted national protocols for the Security Forces and Health and Social Services, conducted awareness programmes in
conjunction with civil society, and undertaken close cooperation and training with the United States. The Government remained committed to doing all it could to prevent and protect against trafficking in persons.

36. The Head of Delegation highlighted the reports of excessive use of force by the security forces, in particular the police, which continued to be of concern to the Government. First, she pointed out that members of the security forces were subject to the jurisdiction of the civil courts, and over the past years several had been prosecuted for acts of violence in excess of their legal authority. There was also a complaints procedure which provided for complaints in respect of police officers to be investigated by the Complaints and Corruption Branch of the Police Force. She noted that, in accordance with the 2009 Police Act, a civilian Police Complaints Inspectorate had been established to oversee complaints made against the police.

37. The Head of Delegation assured that the Government was fully committed to educating its populace on human rights issues, including introducing such training in the primary and secondary school curriculum. Although the Bahamas had not fully adopted the World Programme for Human Rights Education as laid out in 2005, there were numerous subjects taught in both primary and secondary schools that incorporated themes of human rights education.

38. The Head of Delegation noted that the Constitution of the Bahamas guaranteed freedom of expression and opinions, and human rights defenders in the Bahamas were accorded full respect and allowed to actively defend such rights without any restrictions or hindrance by the Government.

B. Interactive dialogue and responses by the State under review

39. During the interactive dialogue, forty-five delegations made statements. Recommendations made during the dialogue are to be found in section II of the present report.

40. New Zealand recognized the capacity and resource challenges the Bahamas faced as a small island developing State. It noted that the Bahamas had accepted a recommendation to consider acceding to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) and welcomed its accession to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. It also welcomed the country’s acceptance of a recommendation to consider measures to promote tolerance and non-discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation. It noted also that the Bahamas retained the death penalty. It made recommendations.

41. Norway was concerned about reported high incidences of domestic violence and acknowledged efforts to combat the problem. It observed the country’s efforts to improve children’s rights but expressed concern that corporal punishment of children still occurred and was lawful. It noted that the Bahamas had accepted recommendations to consider measures to promote tolerance and non-discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation. It noted also that the Bahamas retained the death penalty. It made recommendations.

42. Paraguay congratulated the Bahamas on its efforts to address the needs of persons with disabilities, especially through various forms of financial assistance. It highlighted the legislative efforts made to combat and prevent trafficking in persons. It noted the new act on the protection of children which increased the age of criminal responsibility and encouraged the adoption of measures regarding violence against women. It made recommendations.
43. Peru acknowledged the commitment of the Government of the Bahamas to social development and to respect of the human rights of the country’s population. It highlighted the implementation of the Swift Justice programme, aimed at facilitating cooperation between institutions and judicial bodies, and national discussion encouraging meetings and public consultation on various issues affecting society. It made recommendations.

44. The Philippines noted that the withdrawal of the reservation to Article 16 (1) (h) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) was a giant step forward towards the eventual elimination of discrimination against women. It hoped that the Bahamas would further strengthen the normative framework for migrants’ rights in society. It welcomed intensified efforts to combat human trafficking and the establishment of the interministerial committee on trafficking in persons. The Philippines made a recommendation.

45. Portugal welcomed the ratification by the Bahamas of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the withdrawal of its reservation to article 16 (1) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. It noted that the Bahamas had rejected the recommendation to abolish the death penalty in the first UPR cycle. Portugal recalled that the Bahamas had stated during the first cycle its intention to repeal laws authorizing judicial corporal punishment and requested information on steps taken to ensure children’s right to legal protection from all corporal punishment. It made recommendations.

46. Singapore recognized the challenges faced by the country as a small island developing State and the progress made towards the Millennium Development Goals despite that. It noted the Government’s provision of free education from kindergarten to grade 12. It noted also the efforts to combat trafficking in persons, including the establishment of the Trafficking in Persons Task Force. It made recommendations.

47. Slovakia commended the Bahamas for withdrawing its reservation to article 16 (1) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, for its accession to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and for launching initiatives to combat violence against women, such as the campaign “Domestic Violence is Everyone’s Business”. It also noted the reported increase in the rate of primary education enrollment. It made recommendations.

48. Slovenia noted that the Bahamas was combatting discrimination through the training of educators. It welcomed the fact that guidance counsellors provided education programmes to raise awareness among students of human rights, particularly regarding gender-based and racial discrimination. It welcomed the country’s ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It made recommendations.

49. South Africa commended the withdrawal by the Bahamas of its reservation to article 16 (1) (h) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. It was encouraged by progress made in preparation for accession to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and, in that regard, would appreciate further information on the review of the draft legislation on disability. It noted the commitment of the Bahamas to combating discrimination through education. It made recommendations.

50. Spain welcomed the delegation of the Bahamas and appreciated the participation of the country in the current process. It acknowledged efforts made in the field of human rights and commended particularly the ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It made recommendations.
51. Sri Lanka welcomed the enactment of the Child Protection Act, guided by the Convention on the Rights of the Child. It noted that progress had been made in the advancement of women and that women served in high-level positions in the Bahamas. It welcomed the measures taken regarding trafficking in persons, including the establishment of the Trafficking in Persons Task Force in 2012. It made recommendations.

52. Thailand welcomed the withdrawal by the Bahamas of its reservation to article 16 (1) (h) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, thereby ensuring equal property rights for both spouses in a marriage. It noted the progress made in preparation for accession to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. It applauded efforts to overcome the challenges of trafficking in persons. Thailand expressed concern at particular legislation relating to women’s and children’s rights and referred to the treatment of female prisoners. It invited all countries to respond positively to requests for sharing good practices, assistance and technical cooperation to support enhancing human rights. It made recommendations.

53. Trinidad and Tobago noted the constraints of the Bahamas in relation to promoting and protecting human rights, but recognized its efforts therein, as evidenced by its ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It acknowledged the Government’s commitment and the services it provided to enhance the quality of life of its differently abled citizens. It made recommendations.

54. Turkey appreciated that the Bahamas considered human rights as an integral part of social development and commended the Bahamian education system and high Human Development and Gender Equality Index. Turkey also commended the legislative work of the Bahamas towards harmonizing key areas of education, judiciary and criminal execution with international standards and the withdrawal of its reservation to Article 16 (1) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. Turkey made recommendations.

55. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland noted the efforts of the Bahamas to ensure that law enforcement forces observe, respect and protect human rights, to protect women’s rights and to combat violence against them. It urged the Bahamas to raise awareness of and to criminalize marital rape. It asked whether the Government had considered establishing a moratorium on executions as a first step towards abolition of the death penalty. It made recommendations.

56. The United States of America applauded the Bahamas for responding to concerns raised by several special rapporteurs and civil society representatives of poor conditions at the Carmichael Road Detention Centre; however, there were still reports that access to basic necessities and medical care was restricted and that physical abuse by officers was common. It appreciated efforts to combat discrimination, nevertheless harassment and violence against members of marginalized populations continued to surface. It commended the Bahamas for holding a human trafficking event, setting up a task force to handle specific allegations thereof and establishing official guidance protocols. It made recommendations.

57. Uruguay highlighted the fact that despite the abrogation of the crime of homosexuality, certain legal loopholes generated discrimination against lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender persons. It noted that, while courts recognized property rights in de facto unions, there was no legal mechanism regulating such unions. It invited the Bahamas to prohibit all forms of violence against children in accordance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child, including corporal punishment. It made recommendations.
58. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela highlighted the Government’s progress concerning gender-based violence and gender equality, which was currently driving consultations in that area. It commended training sessions for the police on sexual crime and domestic violence with a view to effectively applying protection orders. It noted that women held high-level positions throughout society. It made a recommendation.

59. Viet Nam was pleased to note the concrete initiatives and achievements of the Bahamas in protecting and promoting human rights reflected, inter alia, by its Human Development Index, its ratification of many international instruments, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the establishment of the interministerial committee on human trafficking. It made recommendations.

60. Algeria encouraged the Government of the Bahamas to continue its efforts to harmonize national legislation with international obligations. It noted progress in promoting women’s rights and participation in public life, but was concerned by the high rate of rape and violence against women. It asked whether the Government intended to revise its position on the transmission of nationality by Bahamian women to their children. It made recommendations.

61. Argentina welcomed the delegation and thanked it for the presentation of its report. It commended the Bahamas for the creation of the National Committee for Families and Children. It also congratulated the Bahamas for the establishment of the interministerial committee on trafficking in persons. It made recommendations.

62. Australia acknowledged the developments of the Bahamas towards greater equality and protection for women and asked for further details on the implementation of the national gender policy. It commended the Bahamas on its recommitment to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women but was deeply concerned by reports of increased violence against women. It remained concerned that the death penalty continued to be imposed, although there had been no executions since 2000. Australia also noted the continued influx of migrants. It made recommendations.

63. Bangladesh was pleased to note that the Bahamas had systematically addressed concerns relating to long-term social and economic development goals. It welcomed the legal provisions against trafficking and for protecting victims and commended the high primary education enrolment in the Bahamas. Bangladesh noted that the Bahamas was vulnerable to national disaster and climate change and faced capacity challenges in reporting under human rights treaties, and hoped that these would be overcome with the international community’s help. It was concerned at deep-rooted stereotypes regarding men’s and women’s roles, responsibilities and identities. It made recommendations.

64. Barbados commended the ratification by the Bahamas of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, recognized ongoing challenges in harmonizing and integrating international obligations with national legislation, and called for OHCHR to assist in addressing this issue. Barbados looked forward to the findings of the Constitution Reform Commission and the referendum to be conducted in 2013. It noted recent legislation on children, women and the disabled as well as policies seeking to promote gender equality and a more accountable judicial system. It viewed positively the efforts of the Bahamas to integrate a human rights awareness programme into the general school curriculum.

65. Brazil appreciated the ratification by the Bahamas of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and noted with interest the enactment of a more comprehensive Child Protection Act, guided by the Convention on the Rights of the Child. It referred to migrants and asylum seekers. Brazil welcomed the commitment of the Bahamas to equality and non-
discrimination and asked for information about measures to promote tolerance and non-
discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation. It made recommendations.

66. Canada asked the Bahamas to provide information on progress made in the
comprehensive study on child abuse, to which it had agreed in 2009. It welcomed the
efforts of the Bahamas to counter all forms of discrimination against persons with
disabilities and commended the Bahamas on not criminalizing homosexuality. It made
recommendations.

67. Chile highlighted the ratification by the Bahamas of the International Covenant on
Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural
Rights, and the withdrawal of its reservation to article 16 (1) (h) of the Convention on the
Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. Chile valued the recognition
of the authorities of the difficulties they faced in complying with the different human rights
conventions to which the Bahamas was a party, including the presentation of reports. It also
appreciated the country’s recognition of the need to raise awareness concerning the respect
and protection of human rights. It made recommendations.

68. China commended the Bahamas for its efforts to implement UPR first cycle
recommendations. It congratulated it for its success in promoting and protecting human
rights, including legislative and institutional measures in favour of gender equality,
women’s and children’s rights, and fighting domestic violence. China welcomed
the commitment of the Bahamas to helping persons with disabilities, its forthcoming accession
to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and its new measures to
counter human trafficking. It made a recommendation.

69. Costa Rica expressed concern about discrimination and violence against women in
public and family life as well as the use of corporal punishment. It asked whether the
legislative reform of the Penal Code regarding the death penalty and life imprisonment was
still envisaged, had been enacted or had been withdrawn from legislative initiatives. It made
recommendations.

70. Cuba acknowledged the specific measures taken in respect of child protection,
including the creation of a National Committee for Families and Children. It noted also the
initiatives introduced by the Bureau of Women’s Affairs. It highlighted the unanimous
approval of a resolution for a constitutional reform to eliminate all forms of gender
discrimination from the Magna Carta. It made a recommendation.

71. Ecuador acknowledged the establishment of the Family Court System Committee
which was empowered to provide immediate practical solutions to family problems
currently dealt with by the judicial system, and the progress achieved regarding racial
harmony. It recognized also the adoption of the new Child Protection Act, based on the
Convention on the Rights of the Child and significant initiatives led by the Bureau of
Women’s Affairs. It made recommendations.

72. Estonia congratulated the Bahamas for its Constitution and commended its
ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International
Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, while highlighting that their
implementation remained key. Estonia was pleased that the Government had removed
reservations on the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against
Women and that women held high-level posts, and invited the Bahamas to continue making
efforts to reduce discrimination and protect the rights of women. It commended
the Bahamas for its active engagement in regional cooperation mechanisms. It made
recommendations.

73. France commended the efforts of the Bahamas including ratification of the
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on
Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and signing the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT). It made recommendations.

74. Germany commended the Bahamas for its commitment to improving the human rights situation in the country. It acknowledged the explanations of the Bahamas on the issue of marital rape, but remained concerned over the high number of marital rape cases. It encouraged the Bahamas to strongly consider the explicit criminalization of marital rape by amending the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act. It made recommendations.

75. Guatemala welcomed various measures to combat gender violence. It highlighted the concern expressed by OHCHR and the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women that the Bahamas did not consider it necessary to comply with the provisions of article 9 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, which required States parties to grant women equal rights with men to acquire, change or retain their nationality and the nationality of their children. It made recommendations.

76. Hungary commended the Bahamas for withdrawing its reservation to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women but indicated that violence against women was reportedly still rising and goes unpunished. It asked for more information on how the planned “Swift Justice” initiative would help and whether the Bahamas would support the General Assembly resolution on a moratorium of the death penalty, after the planned review of its penal system. Hungary, while appreciating efforts taken for child protection, regretted that corporal punishment was still lawful. It made recommendations.

77. Indonesia welcomed and further encouraged the efforts of the Bahamas in completing the 2012–2017 Strategic Plan for the Management, Prevention and Elimination of Family Violence. It noted the continued efforts of the Bahamas in managing migration and understood its concern as a transit country. Indonesia proposed that the “Bali Process” might provide lessons learned in dealing with the issue. It made recommendations.

78. Ireland commended the ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Ireland urged the Bahamas to introduce a moratorium on the death penalty as a first step to ultimate abolition. Ireland was concerned that the Bahamas had no regulatory framework for asylum seekers and refugees, or system to protect the rights of stateless people. It noted the continued prevalence of violence against women and that marital rape had not been criminalized. It made recommendations.

79. Italy was pleased to note that education received a large percentage of the Bahamian budget. It asked for further details on current policy regarding asylum seekers and the principle of confidentiality and what measures the Bahamas intended to take to prevent abuses of illegal immigrants in detention. Italy also requested information on what subsequent steps were planned to improve conditions at Fox Hill Prison. It made recommendations.

80. Latvia thanked the delegation of the Bahamas for its comprehensive national report and raised the issue of standing invitations to the special procedures of the Human Rights Council. It made recommendations.

81. Malaysia commended the Bahamas for its commitment to further strengthening its legal and institutional framework covering human rights standards and practices since its last review. It commended in particular the enactment of the more comprehensive Child Protection Act in 2009, while also noting that the Bahamas continued to face human and technical capacity challenges. It made recommendations.
82. The Maldives congratulated the Bahamas for achievements in consolidating human rights since its last review, welcoming its ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and steps taken in the areas of gender empowerment and human trafficking. It called upon the international community to extend technical assistance to the Bahamas, a small island developing State, to conduct training and awareness programmes to consolidate its national human rights framework. It made recommendations.

83. Mexico noted the ratification by the Bahamas of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It commended the legislative amendments made by the Bahamas to extend the protection framework for victims of trafficking in persons as well as the measures taken to combat violence against women. It hoped that those actions would be strengthened in order to overcome limitations in those areas. It made recommendations.

84. The Netherlands noted the consultation by the Bahamas of civil society in implementing recommendations through “town hall” meetings to promote development and dialogue. It commended the Bahamas on its Domestic Violence Act 2007, which comprehensively defined violence in the home and criminalized stalking and harassment. However, it was concerned that the term “partner” only referred to a relationship between a man and a woman and was hence discriminatory. It made a recommendation.

85. In response to questions, comments and observations made during the interactive dialogue, the Head of Delegation responded by clarifying some issues, such as on citizenship, and recalled that they there had two jurisprudential issues as the Constitution required special procedures, parliamentary majorities and referenda for their change or amendment. On the death penalty, the Bahamas had consistently maintained this view and had been an active participant in the retentions group of countries (“Like-minded Group”) at the United Nations, which had maintained the position that the issue of the death penalty was a criminal one within the domestic jurisdiction of the State. This group had repeatedly reaffirmed that there was no international consensus on the issue of the death penalty and that every State had an inalienable right to choose its political, economic, social and cultural systems without interference in any form from another State.

86. Concerning disabilities, the Head of Delegation reiterated that consultations continued to enact domestic legislation on disability as well as to accede to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

87. Regarding the Detention Centre, the Government was aggressively reviewing existing policies, with a view to identifying weaknesses and taking corrective action, and the Bahamas and the UNHCR enjoyed a regular dialogue and cooperation. Children were also placed in homes and no children were presently in the Detention Centre. In relation to asylum issues, the Department of Immigration adhered to guidelines based on the UNHCR mandates to screen immigrants to determine whether they were at risk of persecution in their country.

88. On the issue of trafficking, the Head of Delegation reiterated that after comprehensive investigation, the Government had found no support for claims of sex tourism. In fact, it had emerged in consultation with civil society that a local human rights group, funded by international aid agencies, had also conducted a survey, independent from the Government, and had come to a similar conclusion.

89. With respect to marital rape, the Head of Delegation noted that they were aware of the concerns of the Council and human rights treaty bodies with respect to this particular issue. In 2009, a bill was tabled to amend the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act to criminalize marital rape where the couple was living together. Consultation with civil
society had indicated that public opinion was strongly against the bill, which was subsequently withdrawn.

90. The Head of Delegation also highlighted the progress made with “Swift Justice” system, in order not to repeat mistakes.

91. In closing, the Head of Delegation quoted the Preamble to the Constitution of the Bahamas as the ethos and guideline for the protection of human rights, namely:

Whereas Four hundred and eighty one years ago the rediscovery of this Family of Islands, Rocks and Cays heralded the rebirth of the New World;
And WHEREAS the People of this Family of Islands recognise that the preservation of their Freedom will guaranteed by national commitment to Self-discipline, Industry, Loyalty, Unity and abiding respect for Christian and the Rule of Law.
NOW KNOW YE THEREFORE:
We the inheritors of and Successors to this Family of Islands, recognising the Supremacy of God and believing in the Fundamental Rights and Freedoms of the Individual, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM IN SOLEMN PRAISE the Establishment of Free and Democratic Sovereign Nation founded on Christian Values and in which no Man, Woman, child shall ever be slave or Bondsman to anyone or their Labour exploited or their Lives frustrated by deprivation, AND DO HEREBY PROVIDE by these Articles for the indivisible Unity and Creation under God of the Commonwealth of The Bahamas.

II. Conclusions and/or recommendations**

92. The following recommendations will be examined by the Bahamas which will provide responses in due course, but no later than the twenty-third session of the Human Rights Council in June 2013:

92.1. Consider ratifying the outstanding international human rights instruments to which it is not yet a party to (South Africa);

92.2. Continue with the ratification of the main international instruments relating to human rights, primarily, the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (France);

92.3. Continue the very positive track with the progress in the promotion and protection of human rights and ratify CAT as well as the CRPD (Portugal);

92.4. Consider acceding to CAT (Slovenia) / Consider ratifying CAT and OP-CAT, which are already signed (Turkey);

92.5. Consider acceding to other relevant human rights instruments (Slovenia)

92.6. Ratify CAT and its Optional Protocol (Brazil) / Become a party to CAT as well as OP-CAT (Estonia) / Ratify the CAT and its Optional Protocol (Germany) / Ratify CAT and its Optional Protocol (Maldives);

92.7. Accede to the OP-CEDAW, to further strengthen its commitment to the equality and protection of women (Australia);

** The conclusions and recommendations have not been edited.
92.8. Consider ratifying the ICRMW (Indonesia) / Consider ratifying the ICRMW as well as ratifying the ILO Convention No. 189 (Philippines);

92.9. Continue with the efforts to ratify the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearances (Argentina);

92.10. Sign and ratify the CRPD (Maldives) / Ratify the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) (New Zealand);

92.11. Hold regular consultations with organizations representing persons with disabilities, in particular to review whether current legislation adequately addresses all the rights in the CRPD (New Zealand);

92.12. Consider ratification of the third OP to the CRC on a communications procedure (Slovakia);

92.13. Consider ratification of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and its Agreement on Privileges and Immunities (Slovakia);

92.14. Ratify the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (Slovenia) / Ratify the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (Spain);

92.15. Ratify the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and to accede to the Agreement on the Privileges and Immunities of the ICC (Estonia);

92.16. Ratify the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and fully align its legislation with all the obligations under the Rome Statute, including incorporating the Rome Statute definition of crimes and general principles, as well as adopting provisions enabling cooperation with the Court (Latvia);

92.17. Ratify the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and bring into line its legislation with the obligations under the Statute (Costa Rica);

92.18. Continue its efforts to further build its capacity and increase awareness on human rights (Malaysia);

92.19. Establish a national human rights institution in accordance with the Paris Principles (Germany) / Establish a national human rights institution in conformity with the Paris Principles (Malaysia) / Establish a national human rights institution (Maldives) / Establish a national institution for the promotion and protection of human rights consistent with the Paris Principles (France);

92.20. Consider establishing an independent National Human Rights Institution, in full compliance with the Paris Principles (Indonesia) / Consider establishing a National Human Rights Institution in compliance with the Paris Principles as a step to strengthen the promotion and protection of human right for all its citizens (South Africa);

92.21. Seek technical assistance of the OHCHR, according to its national priority, in order to address the issue of delay in reporting on the Conventions to which it is party (Algeria);

92.22. Extend a standing invitation to all special procedures of the Council to further advance the respect of human rights (Spain);

92.23. Extend a standing invitation to the Special Procedures of the United Nations and strengthen cooperation with the treaty bodies (France);

92.24. Consider extending a standing invitation to all the special procedures mandate holders of the Human Rights Council (Latvia);
92.25. Continue its cooperation with the Human Rights Council and its mechanisms and extend an open invitation to the Special Procedures (Guatemala);

92.26. Consolidate the positive results in the fields of human development, the ratification of international instruments, trafficking in persons and combating violence against women (Viet Nam);

92.27. Move towards harmonization between national laws and the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Paraguay);

92.28. Enhance efforts to ensure the safety and the rights of children (Bangladesh);

92.29. Strengthen the rule of law and the current legal reform process for better ensuring equality, freedom and enjoyment of all human rights for its people, especially vulnerable groups like women and children (Viet Nam);

92.30. Continue in its dialogue within the Constitutional Reform process with a view to adequately defining “discrimination” and guaranteeing the equal rights of all women within Bahamian society (Trinidad and Tobago);

92.31. Strengthen efforts to eliminate discrimination against women (Bangladesh);

92.32. Continue with its active efforts to improve its legislation to further promote gender equality and the rights of women (China);

92.33. Put in place a comprehensive strategy with a result-oriented approach to eliminate stereotypes that discriminate against women in the family, the workplace, in politics and in collaboration with civil society (Norway);

92.34. Adopt further measures aiming at addressing the situation of discrimination between men and women and to eradicate negative stereotypes (Italy);

92.35. Amend the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act to criminalize marital rape (Norway);

92.36. Strengthen its legislative and policy framework to ensure women’s rights are promoted and protected as a national priority, and in particular urgently consider criminalizing marital rape (Ireland);

92.37. Adopt measures to address the commission of any criminal acts or violence directed towards people based on their actual or perceived race, national or ethnic origin, language, colour, religion, sex, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation, or any other similar factor (Canada);

92.38. Request cooperation and technical assistance for the development and implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (Chile);

92.39. Strengthen the resources given to the Office of Women’s Affairs and consider the possibility of complying with the recommendations of UNHCR and CEDAW, concerning the abolition of the legal provisions that prevent the transmission of nationality to Bahamian women (Paraguay);

92.40. Consider amending the legislation regarding the transmission of nationality to children of Bahamian mothers born abroad and foreign spouses in order to ensure full and effective equality of rights between men and women in this area (Peru);
92.41. Ensure that Bahamian women are able to pass their nationality to their children on equal footing with men, including by withdrawing the reservation to Article 9 (2) of CEDAW (Slovakia);

92.42. Consider revising relevant legislation on the matter of transfer of nationality from parents to children to ensure equal rights between men and women (Thailand);

92.43. Raise public awareness about the equality of rights of women with regard to nationality, consider amending the national legal system to ensure the equal rights for women and men in this area, and continue working and promoting national initiatives aimed at gender equality in the country (Guatemala);

92.44. Take the necessary measures to ensure universal birth registration, regardless of the immigration status of the parents (Mexico);

92.45. Promote the reform of its legislation on death penalty in order to restrict its scope with a view to abolishing this cruel punishment (Italy);

92.46. Take steps towards the abolition of the death penalty (Norway);

92.47. Take steps towards a full abolition of the death penalty and to commute existing death sentences to life imprisonment terms (Slovakia);

92.48. Translate the de facto moratorium on the death penalty into a formal moratorium on executions with a view to abolishing the death penalty, sign and ratify ICCPR’s second protocol, and commute without delay all death sentences to terms of imprisonment (Portugal);

92.49. Fully abolish the death penalty (Estonia);

92.50. Abolish the death penalty and ratify the Second Optional Protocol to ICCPR (Spain);

92.51. Establish a moratorium on executions, with a view to abolishing the death penalty, as established by the relevant resolutions of the UN General Assembly (Uruguay) / Establish a moratorium on the death penalty, in accordance with the resolutions of the General Assembly (Chile) / Establish a moratorium on the death penalty as a first step in acceding to the Second Optional Protocol to ICCPR (Australia) / Establish a moratorium on the use of the death penalty with a view to its permanent abolition (France);

92.52. Consider, as a priority, the implementation of an effective moratorium on the imposition and execution of the death penalty, and consider the abolition of the death penalty in its domestic legislation (Ecuador);

92.53. Ratify the Second Optional Protocol to ICCPR, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty and to consider the repeal of provisions allowing for the death penalty (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland) / Accede to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Uruguay);

92.54. Consider incorporating the United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Measures for Women Offenders, otherwise known as the “Bangkok Rules”, as part of its programme to enhance the conditions of detainees in Her Majesty’s Prison (Thailand);

92.55. Review existing conditions of the Carmichael Road Detention Centre with input from independent observers to bring conditions up to international
standards and investigate allegations of physical abuse by detention officers (United States of America);

92.56. Continue with the policies to tackle gender based violence and the programme of “Swift Justice” (Turkey);

92.57. Adopt a strategic plan which includes elements of prevention, investigation and punishment of acts of violence as well as redress for victims, awareness raising and training for law enforcement staff (Hungary);

92.58. Deploy further efforts in order to prevent and punish violence against women and abuses, in particular those related to domestic violence (Italy);

92.59. Ensure that a comprehensive consultation process with all stakeholders, including civil society, is carried out during the design of the Strategic Plan for the Management, Prevention and Elimination of Domestic Violence (Chile);

92.60. Strengthen its efforts and measures aiming at combating violence against women and girls (Algeria);

92.61. Fully implement and strengthen the legislative framework to fight against domestic violence, sexual aggressions and rape, including marital rape (France);

92.62. Adopt a law on violence against women and girls in all settings as well as a strategy for its implementation (Mexico);

92.63. Take legal and educative measures in order to change the population’s attitude to corporal punishment of children (Norway);

92.64. Enact legislation to prohibit corporal punishment of children in all settings (Portugal);

92.65. Prohibit corporal punishment of children (Slovenia);

92.66. Delete all references to corporal punishment from its legislation and prohibit corporal punishment of children in all settings before the next UPR cycle (Hungary);

92.67. Amend its legislation to prohibit and punish corporal punishment inflicted on children in the home and school, and increase the efforts to raise awareness of the negative effects of this practice (Mexico);

92.68. Repeal all legislation providing for corporal punishment as a method of education in schools and sign the Optional Protocols to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Spain);

92.69. Raise the age for criminal responsibility of boys and girls and eliminate corporal punishment against minors from the domestic legislation of the Bahamas (Ecuador);

92.70. Coordinate with NGOs to ensure effective implementation of formal procedures to guide police and relevant government officials on how to identify victims of forced labour and forced prostitution among vulnerable groups, refer them to available services, and actively pursue traffickers (United States of America);

92.71. Continue to strengthen its domestic framework and institutions to combat human trafficking (Singapore);
92.72. Ensure effective enforcement of the Trafficking in Persons Act and continue to combat trafficking in persons as well as arms smuggling and drug trafficking (Sri Lanka);

92.73. Intensify human rights mainstreaming in policy and action in combating illegal migration and human rights trafficking (Viet Nam);

92.74. Take the necessary measures to establish a unified system of family courts to ensure full compliance with the State's obligation to protect, respect and enforce the right of access to justice for women, in family matters (Uruguay);

92.75. Establish a fully independent oversight body to receive and investigate complaints of police misconduct and reports of human rights violations. Such a body would ensure that all complaints of excessive use of force by the security forces are subject to immediate, thorough and independent investigation and, if state agents are charged with misconduct, that their cases are brought to trial in an expeditious manner and in line with international standards (Canada);

92.76. Include sexual orientation as a prohibited ground of discrimination in its Constitution Reform process (New Zealand);

92.77. Modify its legal framework in order to protect sexual minorities against discrimination (Norway);

92.78. Repeal all provisions that discriminate against persons on the grounds of their sexual orientation, including in the Domestic Violence Act (Protection Orders) and the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland);

92.79. Adopt legislation that prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity, and reinforce existing constitutional provisions that prohibit discrimination based on race and national origin (United States of America);

92.80. Establish and implement policies and initiatives to address discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity (Uruguay);

92.81. Promote the necessary measures to repeal provisions discriminating people on the grounds of sexual orientation, including those contained in the Sexual Offences and Domestic Violence Act, and the Penal Code (Uruguay);

92.82. Consider the possibility of furthering the measures to eliminate all discriminatory treatment on the grounds of sexual orientation (Argentina);

92.83. Include same-sex couples in the Domestic Violence Act and make sure everyone is protected against domestic violence (Netherlands);

92.84. Repeal all provisions giving rise to discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity and ensure the respect of the fundamental freedoms of all citizens (France);

92.85. Continue with its efforts in the field of economic, social and cultural rights, in order to increase the quality of life of its people, particularly the most excluded sectors of the population, for which it is particularly necessary to receive the support and technical assistance from the international community required by the country (Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of));
92.86. Implement policies to guarantee that girls and women with disabilities have equal access to education, employment and work (Costa Rica);

92.87. Continue to implement the socio-economic development strategies and plans, in particular those aimed at fostering women's empowerment (Cuba);

92.88. Continue to implement its efforts to promote universal education and develop a knowledge-based society for long-term sustainable development (Singapore);

92.89. Strengthen efforts in the sphere of education with a view to increasing school enrolment rates at primary and secondary level and achieving the target of Education for All by 2015 (Sri Lanka);

92.90. Continue devoting significant resources in education, with particular consideration to human rights (Italy);

92.91. Continue its endeavours in addressing the needs of the differently-abled with the aim of acceding to the UN CRPD in the near future (Trinidad and Tobago);

92.92. Take further legal measures to increase the visibility and transparency of asylum applications, ensuring an open process for claimants and consistency with human rights principles (Australia);

92.93. Use detention as a last resort and treat asylum seekers in accordance with international human rights standards and in compliance with the principle of non-refoulement (Brazil);

92.94. Devise and implement an appropriate determination procedure to identify stateless persons within the Bahamas and afford them adequate protection (Ireland);

92.95. Consider alternative non-custodial measures for migrants in an irregular situation, with particular attention to the specific needs of women, children – including unaccompanied children – and vulnerable categories of migrants (Peru);

92.96. Adopt immigration policies that protect the human rights of immigrants and refugees and promote the integration of racial minorities among the population of Bahamas (Spain);

92.97. Consider the possibility of mainstreaming a human rights approach into the immigration policies (Argentina);

92.98. Consider the effective implementation of the provisions of the United Nations Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees of 1951, in particular the principle of non-refoulement, the access to a procedure and confidentiality for asylum seekers (Ecuador);

93. All conclusions and/or recommendations contained in the present report reflect the position of the submitting State(s) and/or the State under review. They should not be construed as endorsed by the Working Group as a whole.
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